APPENDIX 3: STFC - LINE MANAGER/SUPERVISOR RISK ASSESSMENT (RA) CHECK LIST

This checklist is designed to help line managers and supervisors assess their RAs to ensure they are 'suitable and sufficient' and meet the STFC standards for RAs.

RA	Ref.		RA Assessor:				
RA	Title:	,					
Che	ecklist				Yes	No	N/A
	Seneral						
a.		ctivity/task being assessed been explicitly described?					
		nt to complete all fields in the top brown box of proforma. If operation of equipment essed, is it possible to add a picture of equipment in final box?).					
b.		those with relevant knowledge and experience been involved lertaking of the assessment?					
	advice obtain	arties involved in activity been consulted? Operators of equipment? Any specialist ined e.g. Radiation hazards – Radiation Protection Adviser/Supervisor (RPA/S) or aste Adviser; or Biological hazards - Biological Safety Officer?)					
2. 🗚	ctivity [fi	rst column of STF	C proforma]				
a.	Have all s	significant hazards t	een identified?				
	(Significant hazards are those with the potential to cause harm to the individual, e.g. working at height or the environment, e.g. a large diesel spill. Remember nothing should sound nasty/painful, those are 'outcomes' for the second column).						
3. V	Vho and H	low [second colun	nn of STFC profo	rma]			
a.	Have the	persons at risk bee	n identified?				
	not dire		ity. They may need a	s should be included, even those separate horizontal line in the trol measures).			
b.	Has it be	en noted <u>how</u> perso	ons are at risk?				
	(The "how" p they are suff	people are at risk is neede icient).	ed to put the control mea	asures in context, and show that			
4. C	urrent Co	ontrols in place [th	ird column of ST	FC proforma]			
a.		ing controls suitabl ble'(ALARP)?	e – are the risks	'as low as reasonably			
b.	Has the c	control hierarchy bee	en followed?				
	entries to de	monstrate control measur	es were considered in the	ndicate N/A where there are no nis order, i.e. eliminate/substitute			
C		ering controls, followed by ersons undertaking a		• ′			
0.	(If it is not c	_	k – it may be generic –	then the required training, skills			

	Issue Number: 3.1	Issue Date: 07/04/2022	Author: SHE Group	Page 19 of 29	1
--	-------------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------	---

5. Risk Calculation			
a.	Is the level of residual risk acceptable in your opinion?		
	(Could any action/intervention lower the risk even further, even if risk is currently low?)		
b.	Have any future actions been entered on Evotix Assure?		
	(It is important that these actions are Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timely).		
6. E	nvironment		
a.	Has RA considered how waste arising from a task or activity will be managed - can it be re-used or recycled before direct disposal?		
b.	Has use of raw materials: chemicals; equipment; or utilities (gas, water, electricity) been considered and their use minimised?		

Specific Issues raised by the check list to be addressed:

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	